Neural Semigroups and Shapes of Learning

Edouard Balzin

Al and Mathematics

- Chair holder: Carlos Simpson
- ► 3IA axis: Core Elements of AI
- Postdoc: Edouard Balzin
- ► Contract: 9/2020-8/2022

A Neural Network for Semigroups

Based on E. Balzin and B. Shminke, "A Neural Network for Semigroups", arXiv:2103.07388.

Topology of supervised learning

- Builds on Corneanu et al, "What Does It Mean to Learn in Deep Networks? And, How Does One Detect Adversarial Attacks?" https://github.com/cipriancorneanu/dnn-topology
- ▶ Given a neural network $D : \mathbb{R}^{\prime} \to \mathbb{R}^{O}$, consider the set N(D) of all its neurons. The values of a neuron $n : \mathbb{R}^{\prime} \to \mathbb{R}$ are called activation values.
- Fixing an ordered finite set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{I}$ (for example a training/testing set) allows to write a vector $(n(x_1), ..., n(x_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^{X}$.
- My question here: can neural networks understand things about mathematical objects?
- ► A semigroup is a set S together with an operation · : S × S → S that is associative: (a · b) · c = a · (b · c). Examples: groups, monoids, can be obtained from categories, from finite automata.
- Assume S finite, then can numerate the elements and represent · by a multiplication table:



How many are there tables for given |S| up to isomorphism / anti-isomorphism?

- Surprisingly many: already around two billion for |S| = 8. Classification is unknown in general. Can a neural network understand something about such data?
- in our paper: try filling partial multiplication tables using NN. Given

 : S × S → S, present S = {1, ..., n} and define C^k_{ij} = 1 if i · j = k and 0
 otherwise. C^k_{ij} is a tensor of dimension n³.

 What if we have a partial table? Can consider C^k_{ij} = 1/n for unknown
 products i · j.

- ► This provides a map N(D) → ℝ^X. The set of neurons is given a shape. What does it look like, how to study it? Does it tell anything useful about learning?
- Our goals: Study of topological structures arising on/from N(D) for fully connected NN trained on synthetic and realistic data like MNIST.



A three layer fully connected NN trained to classify MNIST viewed using 3D MDS

Synthetic case: classifying points in the 4-dimensional unit ball using different architectures. Witness some sort of "shape invariance":





- ► Idea: take a NN that accepts tensors of dim $= n^3$, use it as a denoising autoencoder that "denoises" the missing cells. What loss function?
- ► First idea: supervised learning from known semigroup tables.
- Second idea: learning with associator loss

$$\mathrm{AL}(x, y) := \mathrm{KL}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{ii}^{m} y_{mk}^{l}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{im}^{l} y_{ik}^{m}\right)$$









▶ the paper tests this network for n = 5 (around 200k tables). Go ask Boris!

Going further

- ► Work in progress with B. Shminke and Z. Bulic.
- Generalise the semigroup NN to an unsupervised learning problem of generating semigroups.
- Can such a NN generator find all known semigroups? What will it find for unknown cardinalities?
- Autoencoders provide "feature sets" on inner layers. What about "generalised features" of semigroups?
- What about other algebraic structures?

Two layers of 384 neurons

Problem: how to formalise this apparent invariance? Corneanu et al tried to define homology of NN, is it of any use here? Ask me for details!